

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,844	02/18/2004	Floyd Backes	160-012	2514
34845 7.	590 02/22/2006		EXAM	IINER
STEUBING N	MCGUINNESS & M	EWART, JAMES D		
125 NAGOG P	PARK			D. DED 1871 (DED
ACTON, MA 01720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/780,844	BACKES ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James D. Ewart	2683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>amental</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practi	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the order action is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,		

Application/Control Number: 10/780,844 Page 2

Art Unit: 2683

Response to Arguments

- 1. The applicant's arguments regarding prior art rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), filed January 30, 2006, have been fully considered by the Examiner, but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that Soomro et al. doesn't teach that the channel selection, for communication devices, involves reducing radio frequency interference with the communication devices, Examiner disagrees. Soomro et al teaches a method of dynamic frequency selection and in 0005 states that "implements dynamic frequency selection (DFS) to reduce interference" and in 0006 states that "interference with other primary licensed operators within a band must be detected and avoided in some regulatory domains". Soomero et al focuses on the movement to a new operating channel and the movement occurs to reduce interference as Soomero et al states in paragraph 0022: "...a means to detect or infer the presence of other licensed operators within the current operating channel is required, together with the ability to selectively move to a new channel to avoid interference if such other licensed users are present. Moreover, movement to a new operating channel may be desirable for other reasons as well, such as, for instance, obtaining better channel conditions like signal-to-noise ratio"
- 2. Applicant further argues that the new limitation of "prior to utilizing the selected channel for normal communications, communicating the selection of a radio frequency channel to other devices by sending, on the selected channel, a message indicative of an intent to utilize the selected channel", Examiner disagrees. As shown in figure 3C of Soomro et al is step 320 all stations move to the new channel at the announced time and the next step 321 the owner station which is the access point (see 0029) sends out a beacon. The beacon is sent out prior to

Application/Control Number: 10/780,844 Page 3

Art Unit: 2683

normal communications and occurs on the channel selected for communication and provides an indication of the intent to utilize the selected channel see figure 2 and 0029. Also see McFarland et al. U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0151137 0008 and Figure 4.

- 3. Regarding the double patenting, although amendments to the claims have been made, the same amendments were made to application 10/781,147. Other than the double patenting rejection, claims 2-3 are in condition for allowance.
- 4. Regarding the 35 USC § 112 rejection, applicant's amendment has overcome the rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending

Art Unit: 2683

Application Nos. 10/781228, 10781,192,10/781,309,10/781,147 and 10/781,259. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because either recite identical or substantially the same limitations with minor alterations such as apparatus or computer program claims instead of the current method claims. Although amendments to the claims have been made, the same amendments were made to application 10/781,147. Other than the double patenting rejection, claims 2-3 are in condition for allowance.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Soomro et al. (US Patent Publication no. 2003/0002456).

Referring to claim 1, Soomro et al teaches a method for use by a wireless device in a wireless communications environment, the method comprising the step of: automatically selecting one of a plurality of radio frequency channels for communication with other devices (Figure 5), wherein the selection of a radio frequency channel is performed such that radio

Application/Control Number: 10/780,844 Page 5

Art Unit: 2683

frequency interference with other devices is reduced (0022); prior to utilizing the selected channel for normal communications, communicating the selection of a radio frequency channel to other devices by sending, on the selected channel, a message indicative of an intent to utilize the selected channel (0029).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Andrus et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,993,334 discloses idle handoff with neighbor list channel replacement.

Klank U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0023629 discloses receiving unit for searching for at least one unused transmission channel in a communications device, and a method for use.

Kossi et al. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0097696 discloses apparatus and associated method, for dynamically selecting frequency levels upon which to define communication channels in a radio communication system.

McFarland et al. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0151137 discloses methods for implementing a dynamic frequency selection (DFS) feature for WLAN devices.

Mirchandani et al. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0203828 discloses selecting channel assignment in a wireless data communication network.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James D. Ewart whose telephone number is (571) 272-7864. The

Application/Control Number: 10/780,844 Page 6

Art Unit: 2683

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am - 4pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571)272-7872. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2600.

James Ewart February 8, 2006

> LIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**